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#### Abstract

The modified binary one-dimensional photonic crystal (MB-1DPC), ternary 1DPC (T-1DPC) and heterostructured temary 1DPC (HT-1DPC) are investigated to achieve the omnidirectional total reflection in the free-space optical communication dual-band range of $810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 1610 \mathrm{~nm}$. With material absorption, the reflectivity in the dual-band range for the binary 1DPC (B-1DPC), MB-1DPC, T-1DPC, and HT-1DPC have been studied. The maximum value of reflectivity in each structure that can be achieved in the dual-band range is detemined by the TM polarization. The highest reflectivity can reach up to $99.62 \%$ for TM mode by employing HT-1DPC multilayers, which is composed of $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

PCs were firstly introduced in 1978 [1]. Over the past two decades, there has been an increasing interest in the investigation of PCs that are characterized by photonic crystal band-gaps (PBGs). It has been demonstrated in previous articles that the omnidirectional total reflection wavelength range can been enhanced by using photonic heterostructures [2, 3]. The aperiodic or quasi-periodic PC is an effective and simple approach for broadening the PBGs in periodically stratified medium. On the other hand, PCs with PBGs have been intensively investigated with different materials such as negative index material $[4,5]$, plasma [6, 7], metal [8], an isotropic material [9-11], graded index material [12-16], etc.

Metallic reflector can reflect light for arbitrary incident angles over a wide range of frequencies. However, a metallic reflector has a vast power loss due to the absorption at infrared or higher frequencies. Due to the characteristic of low loss, omnid irectional reflectors of PCs have potential application in microcavity [17], Bragg fibers [18-21], Fabry-Perot resonators [22], etc. In the case of material absorption, the omnidirectional photonic crystal band-gap (OPBG) no longer exists in the strict sense. Early paper [23] on multilayer reflector discussed the properties for the reflectance, which is independent of the number $N$ of the stratifications and the properties of the substrate, provided $N$ is large enough.

Antenna systems coated with multilayer film have been investigated to improve the transmission efficiency of long-range communication [24-26]. Much attention has been given to the investigation of an OPBG and its bandwidth enhancement in the absence of material absorption [2-3, 7, 27-28]. Papers [24, 29] on antenna systems only considered the 1550 nm band in optical communication. In this paper, design and analysis of several PC multilayered structures are investigated to achieve high reflectivity in the dual-band range, i.e.,
$810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 1610 \mathrm{~nm}$, which involve the wavelengths of the beacon light and the signal light in optical communication, respectively. The omnidirectional total reflection in the dual-band range for TE mode and TM mode should be studied. Since the OPBG of TM mode is narrower than the TE one apart from the normal incidence, the bandwidth of the omnidirectional reflector is determined by the OPBGs of TM mode. Our study is carried out in two aspects. Firstly, MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC have been investigated to achieve the omnid irectional total reflection from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm without material absorption for TM mode. In addition, the effects of the refractive indices and the structural parameters of the PCs on the wavelength range and bandwidths of the two OPBGs have been discussed. The simulation results indicate the bandwidth of the two OPBGs can be considerably enhanced by using the HT-1DPC. On the other hand, the reflectivity of the three structures and the B-1DPC in the two optical communication bands of $810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim$ 1610 nm has been discussed in the regime of the material absorption. Therefore, the PCs multilayer film can be applied in Cassegrain antenna with reflectivity type primary mirror and secondary mirror.

## 2. Theoretical model and method

In this section, the model and theoretical method of the MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC are introduced.

### 2.1. Theoretical Model

The MB-1DPC is composed of alternate layers of high refractive index $n_{H}$ and low refractive index $n_{L}$, as shown in Fig. 1(a) [30]. Each period of HT-1DPC is composed of three layers, the refractive indices of which are presented by $n_{H}, n_{M}$ and $n_{L}$, respectively. The index profile of

T-1DPC and HT-1DPC are shown in Fig. 1(b). In Fig. 1, $x$ axis represents the normal direction of the primary mirror and secondary mirror of Cassegrain antenna.


Fig. 1. (a) Index profile of MB-1DPC. (b) Index profile of HT-IDPC.

In Fig. 1(a), The thicknesses of the high (low) refractive index layers in one period for MB-1DPC structure are denoted by $t_{1}^{H}\left(t_{1}^{L}\right)$ and $t_{2}^{H}\left(t_{2}^{L}\right)$, respectively. The period in MB-1DPC is denoted by $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}$ and consists of two bilayers, the thicknesses of which are denoted by $\Lambda_{1}=t_{1}^{H}+t_{1}^{L}$ and $\Lambda_{2}=t_{2}^{H}+t_{2}^{L}$, respectively. The number of periods contained is denoted by $N$. Fig. 1(b) represents the index profile of HT-1DPC with group $N_{g}>$ 1. HT-1DPC consists of different groups and the number of unit cells contained in the $j$ th group is donated by $N_{j}$, the period of which is denoted by $L_{j}=d_{j}^{H}+d_{j}^{M}+d_{j}^{L}$. Each group has the same structure (T-1DPC). The thickness of the period is denoted by $L_{1}=d_{1}^{H}+d_{1}^{M}+d_{1}^{L}$. In HT-1DPC and T-1DPC, the number of periods contained is denoted by $U$, The indices of air and substrate in these two structures are denoted by $n_{A}$ and $n_{S}$, respectively.

### 2.2. Theoretical method

### 2.2.1. Theoretical Method of Photonic Crystal Band-gap

The Transfer Matrix Method (TMM) [31] is used to analyze the PBG characteristics of both MB-1DPC and T-1DPC consisting of only one group, i.e., T-1DPC with $N_{g}=1$. The method which employs TMM and Bloch-Floquet theorem drives eigenvalue equations, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(i K_{T M} T\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right)\right]^{2}-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(i K_{T E} T\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right)\right]^{2}-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{T E}$ and $K_{T M}$ represents Bloch wavenumber of TE mode and TM mode, respectively. $A_{T M}$ or $A_{T E}$ has different forms in MB-1DPC and T-1DPC. $T$ represents the period $\Lambda$ of MB-1DPC or the period $L_{1}$ of T-1DPC. Since a real $K_{T E}$ or $K_{T M}$ determines an allowed mode and an imaginary $K_{i}$ determines a forbidden mode, the allowed modes can be determined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right)\right|<1,\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right)\right|<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to Eq. (3), Eqs. (1) and (2) can be simplified as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \left(K_{T M} T\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \cos \left(K_{T E} T\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2.2. Theoretical Method of Reflectivity Spectra

The characteristic matrix [28] of the $k$ th period is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{k}^{j} & =\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \alpha_{i}^{j} & \frac{-i \sin \alpha_{i}^{j}}{\eta_{i}} \\
-i \eta_{i} \sin \alpha_{i}^{j} & \cos \alpha_{i}^{j}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{6}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M_{11}^{j} & M_{12}^{j} \\
M_{21}^{j} & M_{22}^{j}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad l=4 \quad(i=1,2,3,4 \quad$ signify the layers of thicknesses $t_{1}^{H}, t_{1}^{L}, t_{2}^{H}$ and $t_{2}^{L}$, respectively) for MB-1DPC and $l=3 \quad(i=1,2,3$ signify the layers of thicknesses $d_{1}^{H}, d_{1}^{M}$ and $d_{1}^{L}$, respectively) for T-1DPC when $j=1$, and $l=3 \quad(i=1,2,3 \quad$ signify the layers of thicknesses $d_{j}^{H}, d_{j}^{M}$ and $d_{j}^{L}$, respectively) for HT-1DPC when $j>1$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{i} & = \begin{cases}n_{i} \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) & \text { for TE mode } \\
\cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) / n_{i} & \text { for TM mode }\end{cases}  \tag{7}\\
\alpha_{i} & =\left(2 \pi / \lambda_{0}\right) n_{i} t_{i} \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) \text { for MB-1DPC }  \tag{8}\\
\alpha_{i}^{j} & =\left(2 \pi / \lambda_{0}\right) n_{i} d_{i}^{j} \cos \left(\theta_{i}\right) \text { for HT-1DPC } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{i}$ stands for the ray angle inside the layers of thicknesses $t_{i}$ or $d_{j}^{i}$. The characteristic matrix of the entire photonic crystal is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
M & =\prod_{j=1}^{N_{s}} \prod_{k=1}^{N_{j}} M_{k}^{j} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{N_{s}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M_{11}^{j} \sigma_{N_{j}-1}\left(a_{j}\right)-\sigma_{N_{j}-2}\left(a_{j}\right) & M_{12}^{j} \sigma_{N_{j}-1}\left(a_{j}\right) \\
M_{21}^{j} \sigma_{N_{j}-1}\left(a_{j}\right) & M_{22}^{j} \sigma_{N_{j}-1}\left(a_{j}\right)-\sigma_{N_{j}-2}\left(a_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m_{11} & m_{12} \\
m_{21} & m_{22}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{N}\left(a_{j}\right)$ is the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{N}\left(a_{j}\right)=\frac{\sin \left[(N+1) \cos ^{-1}\left(a_{j}\right)\right]}{\left(1-a_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{11}^{j}+M_{22}^{j}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reflection coefficient of the multilayer is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{\left(m_{11}+\eta_{\text {out }} m_{12}\right) \eta_{\text {in }}-\left(m_{21}+\eta_{\text {out }} m_{22}\right)}{\left(m_{11}+\eta_{\text {out }} m_{12}\right) \eta_{\text {in }}+\left(m_{21}+\eta_{\text {out }} m_{22}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\text {in }}=n_{A} \cos \theta_{\text {in }}, \eta_{\text {out }}=n_{S} \cos \theta_{\text {out }}=n_{S} \sqrt{1-\frac{n_{A}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{\text {in }}}{n_{S}^{2}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{\text {in }}$ and $\theta_{\text {out }}$ represent the incident angle in the air and the refraction angle in the substrate, respectively. The reflectivity of the multilayer media is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=r r^{*}=|r|^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Analysis of the OPBGs without material absorption

The wavelength range of OPBG is determined by the contrast of refractive indices between composite structures of PCs [32, 33]. Namely, the bandwidth increases with the refractive contrast increases. Thus, the refractive contrast should be large enough to achieve the omnidirectional total reflection in two optical communication ranges from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm without material absorption. The refractive indices of the materials $n_{H}=4.7$ ( PbTe ) [34], $n_{M}=4.45(\mathrm{PbS})[35], n_{S}=2.9$ ( $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ ) [36] and $n_{L}=1.46\left(\mathrm{BaF}_{2}\right)$ [28] are selected in T-1DPC and MB-1DPC, as shown in Fig. 1.

### 3.1. Wavelength Range and Bandwidth of OPBGs in MB-1DPC

In Eqs. (1) and (2), the $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right)$ for TM mode and the $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right)$ for TE mode in MB-1DPC are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right)= & \cos \left[k_{H}\left(t_{1}^{H}+t_{2}^{H}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\cos \left(k_{L} L_{2}^{L}\right) \cos \left(k_{L} t_{1}^{L}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{k_{H}}{k_{L}}+\frac{k_{L}}{k_{H}}\right)^{2} \sin \left(k_{L} t_{2}^{L}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} t_{1}^{L}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sin \left[k_{H}\left(t_{1}^{H}+t_{2}^{H}\right)\right] \sin \left[k_{L}\left(t_{1}^{L}+t_{2}^{L}\right)\right]\left(\frac{k_{H}}{k_{L}}+\frac{k_{L}}{k_{H}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \cos \left[k_{H}\left(t_{2}^{H}-t_{1}^{H}\right)\right]\left(\frac{k_{H}}{k_{L}}-\frac{k_{L}}{k_{H}}\right)^{2} \sin \left(k_{L} t_{2}^{L}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} t_{1}^{L}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right)= & \cos \left[k_{H}\left(t_{1}^{H}+t_{2}^{H}\right)\right] \cdot\left[\cos \left(k_{L} t_{2}^{L}\right) \cos \left(k_{L} t_{1}^{L}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{n_{L}^{2} k_{H}}{n_{H}^{2} k_{L}}+\frac{n_{H}^{2} k_{L}}{n_{L}^{2} k_{H}}\right)^{2} \sin \left(k_{L} L_{2}^{L}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} t_{1}^{L}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sin \left[k_{H}\left(t_{1}^{H}+t_{2}^{H}\right)\right] \sin \left[k_{L}\left(t_{1}^{L}+t_{2}^{L}\right)\right]\left(\frac{n_{L}^{2} k_{H}}{n_{H}^{2} k_{L}}+\frac{n_{H}^{2} k_{L}}{n_{L}^{2} k_{H}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \cos \left[k_{H}\left(t_{2}^{H}-t_{1}^{H}\right)\right]\left(\frac{n_{L}^{2} k_{H}}{n_{H}^{2} k_{L}}-\frac{n_{H}^{2} k_{L}}{n_{L}^{2} k_{H}}\right)^{2} \sin \left(k_{L} t_{2}^{L}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} t_{1}^{L}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{H}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{n_{H} \omega}{c}\right)^{2}-\beta^{2}}$ and $k_{L}=\sqrt{\left(n_{L} \omega / c\right)^{2}-\beta^{2}}$. Here, $\omega, c$ and $\beta$ represent the angular frequency of light, the light speed in the vacuum and the propagation constant in MB-1DPC, respectively. On the premise of making comprehensive consideration for the frequency ranges and the bandwidth of the two PBGs, the structural parameters can be set to $\Lambda_{1}=260 \mathrm{~nm}, \Lambda_{2}=380 \mathrm{~nm}$, $h_{1}=t_{1}^{H} / \Lambda_{1}=0.5, \quad N=15$ and $h_{2}=t_{2}^{H} / \Lambda_{2}=0.375$. Fig. 2 represents the omnidirectional total reflection in the dual-band range.


Fig. 2. (a) Photonic band-gap diagram of MB-1DPC.
(b) The simplified reflectivity spectrum in terms of incident angle and the normalized frequency for TM polarization.

A band-gap diagram is usually used to investigate the PCs for an arbitrary angle as shown in Fig. 2(a). The deep and light gray regions indicate the allowed bands of TE and TM modes, respectively. Fig. 2(b) represents the simplified reflectivity spectrum in terms of incident angle and normalized frequency for TM polarization. The simplified reflectivity spectrum originates from the reflectivity spectrum, which is plotted as a function of incident angle and the normalized frequency for TM polarization by means of Eq. (15). The red area corresponds to $R \geq 99.999 \%$ and the blue area corresponds to $R<$ $99.999 \%$. The normalized frequencies of the dual-band range from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm for optical communication are marked by four black lines as shown in Fig. 2. It indicates that omnidirectional total reflection wavelength ranges in the simp lified spectra agree well with that in photonic band diagram in MB-1DPC.


Fig. 3. The wavelength range and bandwidth of OPBGs versus: (a) $\Lambda_{2}$, (b) $h_{1}$ and (c) $h_{2}$.

Since the bandwidth of OPBGs for TM mode is narrower than the TE one apart from the normal incidence, the bandwidth of OPBGs in the PCs is determined by the TM mode. Fig. 3 illustrates the effect of $h_{1}, h_{2}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ on the wavelength range and bandwidth of the two OPBGs for TM mode while the other parameters are consistent with the parameters in Fig. 2. The four purple horizontal lines as shown in Fig. 3(a1), (b 1) and (c 1) represent the dual-band range $810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 1610 \mathrm{~nm}$ for optical communication. The dark gray and light gray areas represent the first OPBG ( $1^{\text {st }}$ OPBG) and the second OPBG (the $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{OPBG}$ ), respectively. $\Delta \lambda_{1}$ and $\Delta \lambda_{2}$ represent the bandwidth of the $1^{\text {st }}$ OPBG) and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ OPBG, respectively.

Fig. 4 illustrates the effect of $n_{H}, n_{L}$ and $\Lambda_{1}$ on the wavelength range and bandwidth of the two OPBGs for TM mode while the other parameters are consistent with the parameters in Fig. 2. In Fig. 4(a1), (b1) and (c1), the dual-band range $810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 1610 \mathrm{~nm}$ lies in $1^{\text {st }}$ OPBG and $2^{\text {nd }}$ OPBG, respectively.


Fig. 4. The wavelength ranges and bandwidth of OPBGs versus: (a) $n_{H}$, (b) $n_{L}$ and (c) $\Lambda_{1}$.

In Figs. 3 and 4, the incre ment of all the parameters can contribute to red shift of both upper band-gap edge (UBGE) and low band-gap edge (LBGE) in both the $1^{\text {st }}$ OPBG and the $2^{\text {nd }} O P B G$, which is different from the heterostructured binary one-dimensional photonic crystal (HB-1DPC) [3]. In Ref. [3], the UBGE and LBGE are determined by structural parameters of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively. In order to achieve the omnidirectional reflection of the dual-band range, each parameter has its own feasible range while the remain ing parameters are consistent with the parameters in Fig. 2. The feasible ranges of structural parameters in MB-1DPC are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Feasible Ranges of Parameters in MB-1DPC

| $\Lambda_{1}(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $248 \sim 268$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Lambda_{2}(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $360 \sim 384$ |
| $h_{1}$ | $0.465 \sim 0.522$ |
| $h_{2}$ | $0.345 \sim 0.392$ |
| $n_{L}$ | $1.374 \sim 1.486$ |
| $n_{H}$ | $4.58 \sim 4.78$ |

### 3.2. The Wavelength Range and Bandwidth of OPBGs in T-1DPC

In Eqs. (1) and (2), the $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right)$ for TM mode and the $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right)$ for TE mode in T-1DPC are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T M}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 k_{H} k_{M} k_{L} n_{H}{ }^{2} n_{M}{ }^{2} n_{L}{ }^{2}} . \\
& {\left[2 k_{H} k_{M} k_{L} n_{H}{ }^{2} n_{M}{ }^{2} n_{L}{ }^{2} \cos \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \cos \left(k_{M} d_{1}^{M}\right) \cos \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right)\right.} \\
& -k_{H} n_{H}{ }^{2}\left(k_{M}{ }^{2} n_{L}{ }^{4}+k_{L}{ }^{2} n_{M}{ }^{4}\right) \cos \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right) \sin \left(k_{M} d_{1}^{M}\right) \\
& -k_{L} n_{L}{ }^{2}\left(k_{H}{ }^{2} n_{M}{ }^{4}+k_{M}{ }^{2} n_{H}{ }^{4}\right) \sin \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \cos \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right) \sin \left(k_{M} d_{1}^{M}\right) \\
& \left.-k_{M} n_{M}{ }^{2}\left(k_{H}{ }^{2} n_{L}{ }^{4}+k_{L}{ }^{2} n_{H}{ }^{4}\right) \sin \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \cos \left(k_{M} d_{1}^{M}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right)\right] \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{T E}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 k_{H} k_{M} k_{L}} \cdot\left[2 k_{H} k_{L} \cos \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \cos \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right)\right. \\
& -\left(k_{L} k_{H}^{2}+k_{L} k_{M}^{2}\right) \sin \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \sin \left(k_{M} d_{1}^{M}\right) \cos \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right) \\
& -\left(k_{H} k_{M}{ }^{2}+k_{H} k_{L}^{2}\right) \sin \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(k_{M} k_{H}{ }^{2}+k_{M} k_{L}^{2}\right) \sin \left(k_{H} d_{1}^{H}\right) \sin \left(k_{L} d_{1}^{L}\right) \cos \left(k_{M} d_{1}^{M}\right)\right] \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{H}=\sqrt{\left(n_{H} \omega / c\right)^{2}-\beta^{2}}, \quad k_{M}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{n_{M} \omega}{c}\right)^{2}-\beta^{2}}$ and $k_{L}=\sqrt{\left(n_{L} \omega / c\right)^{2}-\beta^{2}}$. Here, $\beta$ represents the propagation constant in T-1DPC.

On the premise of making comprehensive consideration for the frequency ranges and the bandwidth of the two PBGs, the structural parameters are $L_{1}=315$ $\mathrm{nm}, \quad a_{1}=d_{1}^{H} / L_{1}=0.175, a_{2}=d_{1}^{M} / L_{1}=0.285$ and $U=20$. Fig. 5 represents the omnidirectional total reflection of the dual-band range.


Fig. 5. (a) Photonic band-gap diagram of T-1DPC. (b) The simplified reflectivity spectrum in terms of incident angle and the normalized frequency for TM polarization.

In Fig. 5(a), the deep and light gray regions indicate the allowed bands of TE and TM modes, respectively. In Fig. 5(b), the red area corresponds to $R \geq 99.999 \%$ and the blue area corresponds to $R<99.999 \%$.

In Fig. 5, the normalized frequencies of the dual-band range are marked by four dark lines. Fig. 5 indicates that omnidirectional total-reflection wavelength ranges in the simplified spectra agree well with that in photonic band diagram.

Fig. 6 illustrates the effect of $n_{H}, n_{M}$ and $n_{L}$ on the wavelength range and bandwidth of the two OPBGs while the other parameters are consistent with the parameters in Fig. 5. Fig. 7 illustrates the effect of $L_{1}, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ on the wavelength range and bandwidth of the two OPBGs while the other parameters are consistent with the parameters in Fig. 5.

In Figs. 6 and 7, the incre ment of all the parameters can contribute to redshift of both UBGE and LBGE in both the $1^{\text {st }}$ OPBG and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ OPBG. As shown in Fig. 6(c1), the increment of $n_{L}$ can result in a significant red-shift on the UPGE wavelength of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ OPBG, compared with the other three PGEs. In other words, the bandwidth of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ OPBG can be greatly enhanced with $n_{L}$ increasing when the other parameters are consistent with the parameters in Fig. 5. Fig. 7(a1) shows that the slight increase in $L_{1}$ can result in a smash red-shift on the PGEs wavelength in the two OPBGs, compared with the other five parameters. The feasible range of each parameter is listed in Table. 2


Fig. 6. The wavelength range and bandwidth of OPBGs versus: (a) $n_{L}$, (b) $n_{M}$ and (c) $n_{H}$.


Fig. 7. The wavelength range and bandwidth of OPBGs versus: (a) $L_{1}$, (b) $a_{1}$ and (c) $a_{2}$

Table 2. Feasible Ranges of Parameters in T-1DPC.

| $L_{1}(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $303 \sim 323$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $n_{L}$ | $1.34 \sim 1.60$ |
| $n_{M}$ | $4.14 \sim 4.74$ |
| $n_{H}$ | $4.33 \sim 4.80$ |
| $a_{1}$ | $0.147 \sim 0.190$ |
| $a_{2}$ | $0.256 \sim 0.300$ |

### 3.3. Enlargement of the Two OPBGs Width by Using HT-1DPC

In order to broaden the bandwidth of the two OPBGs, the strategy of using HT-1DPC is adopted. The criterion for omnidirectional total reflection range enlarge ment by using heterostructure is the simultaneous adjacency of OPBGs [2, 3]. The reflectivity spectra and the simplified reflectivity spectra as a function of wavelength and incident angle for TM polarization is given in Fig. 8. The red area corresponds to $R \geq 99.999 \%$ and the blue area corresponds to $R<99.999 \%$, as shown in Fig. 8(b).


Fig. 8. (a) Reflectivity spectra and (b) simplified reflectivity spectra as a function of the wavelength and incident angle for the TM polarization with $L_{1}=360$ $\mathrm{nm}, \quad L_{2}=280 \mathrm{~nm}, \quad a_{1}=d_{1}^{H} / L_{1}=d_{2}^{H} / L_{2}=0.175$, $a_{2}=d_{1}^{M} / L_{1}=d_{2}^{M} / L_{2}=0.285, U=20$ and $N_{g}=2$.

In Fig. 8, the dual-band range from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm , which are in the $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{OPBG}$ and the $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{OPBG}$, respectively. The bandwidths of the $1^{\text {st }}$ OPBG and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ OPBG are 420 nm and 722 nm , which are considerably enlarged for all angles for TM polarization, compared with the MB-1DPC (Figs. 3 and 4) and T-1DPC (Figs. 6 and 7). Fig. 8 indicates that the omnidirectional total reflection wavelength ranges can be greatly enhanced by means of HT-1DPC.

## 4. Analysis of reflectivity with material absorption

When the influence of the material absorption on the reflectivity of the reflector is considered, Eq. (6) can be modified to

$$
\eta_{i=}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sqrt{\widetilde{n_{l}^{2}}-n_{A}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\theta_{i n}\right)} \text { for } T E \text { mode }  \tag{20}\\
\sqrt{\widetilde{n_{l}^{2}}-n_{A}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\theta_{i n}\right)} / \widetilde{n_{l}^{2}} \text { for TM mode }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the complex refractive index $\widetilde{n_{l}}$ [37] has the form: $\widetilde{n_{l}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{ik}_{\mathrm{t}}$.

In order to achieve high reflectivity in the dual-band range $810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 1610 \mathrm{~nm}$ for optical communication, the material with the small value of $k_{t}$ must be selected. On the premise of making comprehensive consideration for the materials with s mall absorption loss and the feasible ranges of structural parameters in Table 1 and Table 2, the B-1DPC and MB-1DPC are composed of $\mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{PES}$, and the $\mathrm{T}-1 \mathrm{DPC}$ consists of $\mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{PES}$. The refractive ind ices of these materials $[18,36,38]$ in B-1DPC, MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC are summarized in Table 3. In the following discussions, the refractive indices of the air and the substrate are consistent with those described above.

Table 3. The Refractive Indices of the Materials.

| Material | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ | 2.9 | 0.000001 |
| PES | 1.625 | 0.0001 |
| Si | 3.5 | 0.000001 |
| Ge | 4.5 | 0.06 |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 1.45 | 0 |

### 4.1. Reflectivity of B-1DPC

The high and low refractive indices in B-1DPC are separately 4.5 and 1.625 , which are different from the parameters $\left(n_{H}, n_{L}\right)$ mentioned above. In this case, the simulation result is shown in Fig. 9. The minimum reflectivity in the dual-band range $810 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 910 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $1550 \mathrm{~nm} \sim 1610 \mathrm{~nm}$ for all incident angles is indicated by $R_{\min }$. Fig. 9 shows $R_{\min }$ is dependent on $h$ and $\Lambda$ with different $k_{t}$.


Fig. 9. $R_{\min }$ of $B-1 D P C$ structure for the $T M$ polarization in terms of $h$ and $\Lambda$ with $n_{L}=1.625$, $n_{H}=4.5, n_{A}=1.0, n_{S}=2.9$ and $N=20$. (a) $k_{t}=0$ and (b) $k_{t}=0.06$.

The purpose of Fig. 9(a) is to illustrate whether there are multiple regions [39] to achieve the omnidirectional reflection in the dual-band range. In this case, there is only one red area, which is different from Fig. 1 of Ref. [38] ,and values in the remaining areas cannot realize the omnidirectional total reflection in the dual-band range for B-1DPC. Fig. 9(b) represents $R_{\text {min }}$ of B-1DPC for the TM polarization as a function of $h$ and $\Lambda$ with $k_{t}=0.06$, which is the imaginary part of the refractive index of Ge. Since the imag inary part of the refractive inde xes of PES and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ are tiny, the material absorption of the low refractive index layers and substrate can be ignored in $\mathrm{B}-1 \mathrm{DPC}$. Owing to the large absorption of Ge , the maximum value of $R_{\min }$ in Fig. 9(b) can only reach $55.92 \%$ with the parameters summarized in Table 4. The number of periods contained in B-1DPC is donated by $N$.

Table 4. The Parameters of the Maximum $R_{\text {min }}$ in $B-1 D P C$.

| $\Lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | 344 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k_{t}$ | 0.06 |
| $n_{L}$ | 1.625 |
| $n_{H}$ | 4.5 |
| $N$ | 20 |
| $h$ | 0.36 |

Fig. 11 shows the effect of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ on $R_{\text {min }}$ for TM polarization By setting $\Lambda_{1}=260 \mathrm{~nm}, \Lambda_{2}=330 \mathrm{~nm}$, $N=15$ and keeping the other parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}\right)$ the same as the parameters in Table 4.. Fig. 11(a) and (b) are separately plotted with $k_{t}=0$ and $k_{t}=0.06$, which are the imaginary part of the refractive index of Ge. In Fig. 10 and 11, the material absorption of the low refractive index layers and substrate can be ignored due to the tiny value of the imaginary part of the refractive indices of PES and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$.

### 4.2. Reflectivity of MB-1DPC

By setting $h_{1}=0.545, N=15, h_{2}=0.470$ and keeping the other parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}\right)$ the same as the parameters in Table 4. Fig. 10 shows the effect of $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ on $R_{\text {min }}$ for TM polarization. Fig. 10(a) and (b) are separately plotted with $k_{t}=0$ and $k_{t}=0.06$, which are the imaginary part of the refractive index of Ge.


Fig. 10. $R_{\text {min }}$ of MB-1DPC structure in terms of $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ for the TM polarization. (a) $k_{t}=0$ and
(b) $k_{t}=0.06$.

Fig. 11 shows the effect of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ on $R_{\text {min }}$ for TM polarization By setting $\Lambda_{1}=260 \mathrm{~nm}, \Lambda_{2}=330 \mathrm{~nm}$, $N=15$ and keeping the other parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}\right)$ the same as the parameters in Table 4. Fig. 11(a) and (b) are separately plotted with $k_{t}=0$ and $k_{t}=0.06$, which are the imaginary part of the refractive inde $x$ of Ge . In Figs. 10 and 11, the material absorption of the low refractive index layers and substrate can be ignored due to the tiny value of the imaginary part of the refractive indices of PES and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$.


Fig. 11. $R_{\text {min }}$ of MB-1DPC structure in terms of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ for the TM polarization. (a) $k_{t}=0$ and

$$
\text { (b) } k_{t}=0.06 \text {. }
$$

In the case of non-absorption, the $R_{\text {min }}$ in the red area tend to unity so that only the structural parameters in the red area can accomplish the omnidirectional total reflection of the dual-band range for TM mode, as shown in Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 11(a). In this case, there is only one red area where the omnidirectional total reflection can be achieved. In the case of the material absorption, the value of $R_{\min }$ can be greatly reduced, as shown in Fig. 10(b) and Fig. 11(b). Owing to the large absorption of Ge, the maximu $m$ value of $R_{\text {min }}$ can only reach $61.89 \%$ in Fig. 11(b) for TM polarization with $\Lambda_{1}=260 \mathrm{~nm}, \Lambda_{2}=330 \mathrm{~nm}$, $h_{1}=0.482, h_{2}=0.476, N=15$ and the other parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}, k_{t}\right)$ that are consistent with the parameters in Table 4.

### 4.3. Reflectivity of T-1DPC

Fig. 12(a) represents $R_{\text {min }}$ is dependent on the $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ for TM mode by setting with $L_{1}=315 \mathrm{~nm}, n_{M}=3.5$, $N_{1}=20$ and keeping the other parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}\right)$ the same as the parameters in Table 4. Fig. 12(a) and (b) are plotted with the imaginary part of the refractive index of Ge , i.e., $k_{t}=0$ and $k_{t}=0.06$, respectively.


Fig. 12. $R_{\text {min }}$ of T-1DPC structure in terms of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ for the TM polarization. (a) $k_{t}=0$ and (b) $k_{t}=0.06$.

By setting $a_{2}=0.39, n_{M}=3.5, U=20$ and keeping parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}\right)$ the same as the parameters in Table 4, Fig. 13 shows the effect of $L_{1}$ and $a_{1}$ on $R_{\min }$ for TM polarization. Fig. 13(a) and (b) are separately plotted with $k_{t}=0$ and $k_{t}=0.06$, which are the imaginary part of the refractive index of Ge. Since the material absorption of PES and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ is scant compared with Ge, the optical loss in the PES and $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ layers can be neglected in Fig. 12(b) and Fig. 13(b).
(a)

(b)


Fig. 13. $R_{\text {min }}$ of T-1DPC structure in terms of $L_{1}$ and $a_{1}$ for the TM polarization. (a) $k_{t}=0$ and (b) $k_{t}=0.06$.

In the presence of the material absorption, the reflectivity of the T-1DPC in the dual-band range will drop, as shown in Fig. 12(b) and Fig. 13(d). The huge differences between Fig. 12(a) and (b) originate from the material absorption loss. From Fig. 13(b), the maximum value of $R_{\min }$ can reach $88.02 \%$ with $L_{1}=357 \mathrm{~nm}, n_{M}=3.5$, $a_{1}=0.068, a_{2}=0.39, U=20$ and the other parameters $\left(n_{L}, n_{H}, k_{t}\right)$ that are consistent with the parameters in Table 4. By comparing T-1DPC with B-1DPC and MB-1DPC, it is found that the maximum value of $R_{\text {min }}$ in T-1DPC is the highest when $k_{t}=0.06$.

### 4.4. Reflectivity of HT-1DPC

Due to the large absorption of the materials, the three structures discussed above can't achieve high reflection in the dual-band range for optical commun ication. According to the discussion of HT-1DPC in Fig. 8, the bandwidth of the OPBGs can be significantly enhanced by adopting the strategy of simultaneous adjacency of OPBGs. Thus, the materials with bitty absorption loss can be selected to achieve the high reflection in the dual-band range. The HT-1DPC is composed of $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} / \mathrm{PES}$, the refractive indices of which are listed in Table 4.

In the absence of the material absorption, Fig. 12(a) represents $R_{\text {min }}$ of HT-1DPC for the TM polarization as a function of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ by setting $n_{H}=3.5, n_{M}=2.9$, $a_{1}=0.165, a_{2}=0.365, N_{g}=2, U=20$ and keeping $n_{L}$ the same as the parameters in Table 4. The reflectance is independent of the number $N$ of the stratifications and the properties of the substrate, provided $N$ is large enough [23]. In this case, the absorption loss of the substrate can be ignored. By keeping the parameters $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, n_{M}, n_{L}, n_{H}\right.$, $U)$ the same as Fig. 14(a), Fig. 14(b) and (c) are plotted from the white dotted box in Fig. 14(a) with $k_{t}=$ 0.000001 and $k_{t}=0.0001$, respectively. By setting $L_{2}=425 \mathrm{~nm}, L_{1}=365 \mathrm{~nm}$ and keeping the other parameters the same as Fig. 14(a), Fig. 14(d), (e) and (f) describe $R_{\min }$ is dependent on $a_{2}$ and $a_{1}$ with $k_{t}=0$, $k_{t}=0.000001, k_{t}=0.0001$, respectively. In Fig. 14, all the imaginary parts of the refractive indices of the three materials are denoted by the same $k_{t}$.


Fig. 14. $R_{\text {min }}$ of HT-1DPC for the TM polarization as a function of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}:$ (a) $k_{t}=0$, (b) $k_{t}=0.000001$, (c) $k_{t}=0.0001 . R_{\min }$ of $T-1 D P C$ for the $T M$ polarization in terms of $a_{2}$ and $a_{1}$ : (d) $k_{t}=0$, (e) $k_{t}=0.000001,(f) k_{t}=0.0001$

Only the red areas in Fig. 14(a) can achieve the omnidirectional total reflection in the dual-band range for optical communication. In Fig. 14(a), the red areas are symmetrical about the white line, which is the angle bisector of the first quadrant. Compared with the B-1DPC, MB-1DPC and T-1DPC, the number of red areas in the HT-1DPC can reach up to ten in the case of non-absorption. Extra red areas provide more choices of parameter selection which can achieve the total reflection in the dual-band range so that an easy-processing structure can be selected in practical production.

According to Fig. 14(c), the distribution of $R_{\min }$ are not symmetrical about the white line. Compared with the value of $R_{\text {min }}$ in Fig. 14(b) and (e), the value of $R_{\text {min }}$ in Fig. 14(c) and (f) is drastically reduced, which means that $R_{\text {min }}$ is remarkably affected by the value of $k_{\mathrm{t}}$. In order to improve the reflectivity of the HT-1DPC in the dual-band range, the medium PES can be replaced with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, the material absorption of which can be ignored as shown in Table 3. With $a_{1}=0.262, a_{2}=0.208, n_{L}=1.45$ and the other parameters $\left(n_{M}, n_{L}, n_{H}, U\right)$ that are consistent with Fig. 14(e), the maximum of $R_{\text {min }}$ in the HT-1DPC composed of $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ can reach up to $99.62 \%$ by means of Eqs. (15) and (20). The maximum values of $R_{\text {min }}$ in B-1DPC, MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC are summarized in Table 5. In particular, the reflectivity in HT-1DPC are the highest among these structures and reach $99.62 \%$, which is comparable to the reflectivity in recent works [40-41].

Table 5. the Maximum Values of $R_{m i n}$ in the Four Structures

| B-1DPC $(\mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{PES})$ | $55.92 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| MB-1DPC $(\mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{PES})$ | $61.89 \%$ |
| T-1DPC $(\mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{PES})$ | $88.02 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{HT}-1 \mathrm{DPC}$ | $99.62 \%$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ |  |

## 5. Comparison of reflectivity between TE mode and TM mode

In the presence of the material absorption, the omnidirectional total in the dual-band range cannot be achieved. In order to achieve the omnidirectional high reflection of the PCs, one must have omnidirectional high reflection for both polarization states. Figs. 15 and 16 are plotted for TE mode and TM mode with the parameters that can achieve the maximum values of $R_{\text {min }}$ in $\mathrm{B}-1 \mathrm{DPC}$, MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC, which are listed in Table 5.


Fig. 15. (a) Reflection spectrum and (b) simplified refection spectrum as a function of incident angle and wavelength for $T M$ and TE polarization state with the parameters of B-1DPC summarized in Table 4. (c) Reflection spectrum and (b) simplified refection spectrum as a function of incident angle and wavelength for TM and TE polarization state with the parameters that can achieve the maximum value of $R_{\text {min }}$ in $M B-1 D P C$.

Fig. 15(a) and (c) show the reflection spectra as a function of incident angle and wavelength for TE mode and TM mode in B-1DPC and MB-1DPC, respectively. The four black lines represent the dual-band range from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm for optical communication. In Fig. 15(b), the red area corresponds to $R \geq 55.92 \%$ and the blue region corresponds to $R<55.92 \%$. Fig. 15(b) shows the reflectivity in the dual-band range for TE mode is not less than the maximum values of $R_{\text {min }}$ in B-1DPC. The red zone in Fig. $15(\mathrm{~d})$ corresponds to $R \geq 61.89 \%$ and the blue region
corresponds to $R<61.89 \%$. The dual-band range for optical co mmunication is still located in the red zone for TE mode as shown in Fig. 15(d). In other words, the maximum of $R_{\min }$ for TE polarization is not less than the one for TE polarization in B-1DPC and MB-1DPC.

Fig. 16(a) and (c) describe the reflection spectra as a function of incident angle and wavelength for TM polarization and TE polarization in the T-1DPC and HT-1DPC, respectively. The red area in Fig. 16(b) and (d) correspond to $R \geq 88.02 \%$ and $R \geq 99.62 \%$, respectively.


Fig. 16. (a) Reflection spectrum and (b) simplified reflection spectrum as a function of incident angle and wavelength for TM and TE polarization state with the parameters that can achieve the maximum value of $R_{\min }$ in T-1DPC. (c) Reflection spectrum and (d) simplified reflection spectrum as a function of incident angle and wavelength for TM and TE polarization state with the parameters that can achieve the maximum value of $R_{\text {min }}$ in HT-1DPC.

The dual-band range for optical communication lies in the red region for the TE mode as shown in Fig. 16(b) and (d). Namely, the maximu m of $R_{\min }$ is not less than $88.02 \%$ for the TE mode in the T-1DPC and not less than $99.62 \%$ for the TE mode in the HT-1DPC. Since the maximum of $R_{\text {min }}$ for TE polarization is not less than the TM one, the highest reflectivity for both polarization states that can be achieved in the dual-band range from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm in the B-1DPC, MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC structure is determined by the TM polarization.

## 6. Conclusions

In summary, the MB-1DPC, T-1DPC and HT-1DPC are investigated to achieve the omnidirectional total reflection in the dual-band range from 810 nm to 910 nm and from 1550 nm to 1610 nm for optical communication without the material absorption being considered. The
frequency ranges of the OPBGs in simplified reflection spectra agree well with the PBGs frequency ranges in photonic band diagram. The effects of the structural parameters on the shifts and bandwidth of the two OPBGs in MB-1DPC and T-1DPC have been discussed. The bandwidth of the two OPBGs can be significantly enhanced by using HT-1DPC. Since the bandwidth of the two OPBGs can be significantly enhanced by means of HT-1DPC, more materials can be employed which means the materials with bitty absorption loss can be selected to achieve high reflection in the dual-band range. The highest reflectivity in HT-1DPC composed of $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ can reach up to $99.62 \%$. Since the maximum reflectivity that can be achieved in each structure for TE mode is not less than the TM mode in the dual-band range, the maximum value of reflectivity in each structure that can be achieved in the dual-band is determined by the TM polarization.
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