On the structure of Lithium-Phosphate glasses doped with iron and vanadium ions

C. ANDRONACHE^a, M. BALASOIU^{b,c,d,*}, O. L. ORELOVICH^b, A. V. ROGACHEV^{b,d},

L. MIHALY-COZMUTA^a, A.-M. BALASOIU-GAINA^{b,e,f}, D. RACOLTA^a

^aTechnical University of Cluj Napoca, North University Center of Baia Mare, Romania

^c"Horia Hulubei" National Institute for Nuclear Physics and Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

^dMoscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

^eWest University of Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania

^fCMCF, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

Structural investigations on the glasses from the system $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100 \cdot x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with 0 < x < 50 mol%, were accomplished. Scanning electron microscopy and small angle neutron scattering methods were used for the present study. The structural 2D-results from SEM are in good agreement with the 3D-results from SANS. It is found that with the increase of the concentration x the microstructure features of the system are changing from particulate to fractal.

(Received June 14, 2019; accepted December 10, 2019)

Keywords: Lithium-Phosphate glasses, SEM, SANS, microstructure

1. Introduction

Glasses containing transition metal ions are important materials for the science, technology, and engineering, for their electrical, optical and magnetic properties that make them suitable for large number of applications in many fields [1-6].

The addition of transition metal oxides such as V_2O_5 in phosphate glasses was investigated due to their properties such as low glass transition temperatures, high thermal expansion coefficient, and low melting temperature [7-12].

The obtained systems are new and detailed investigations of the resulted compounds are necessary. In the present paper the microstructure of the glasses from the system $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100 \cdot x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with 0 < x < 50 mol%, is studied. Earlier, investigations by means of FT-IR [13] and Raman [14] spectroscopy and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) [15], were accomplished on similar samples in order to identify the spectral contribution of each unit component, to point out the role of the iron and vanadium ions, respectively to determine the local structure of these glasses.

The FT-IR results showed that Fe_2O_3 and V_2O_5 contribute to the structure as network modifiers forming non-bridging oxygen ions. When their concentration increases, also the number of non-bridging oxygen ions increase. At a higher content of iron and vanadium ions, the P=O bonds are breaking, the P-O-P bonds are replaced by P-O-Fe or P-O-V bonds and the FeO₄ units appear together with the FeO₆ units [13].

The Raman spectra of the similar glass systems like $xMeO(100-x)[P_2O_5(aO)], (MeO = Fe_2O_3, V_2O_5 or$ $(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5)$) showed that the addition of Fe_2O_3 and V₂O₅ in the glass matrix causes a depolymerization of the phosphate chains network and the glass network is changing gradually, with the increasing of iron and vanadium content. For example, the Raman spectra of $xFe_2O_3 \cdot (100-x)[P_2O_5 \cdot CaO]$ do not present any absorption bands characteristic to Fe₂O₃ but its evolution is dependent on the iron content. The Raman spectra of $xV_2O_5 \cdot (100-x)[P_2O_5 \cdot CaO]$ present, besides the bands specific for the matrix, some bands assigned to characteristic vibrations of V-O bonds which are evidenced only for high content of V₂O₅ [14].

Samples like $xMeO(100-x)[P_2O_5CaO]$, (MeO = Fe₂O₃, V₂O₅ or (Fe₂O₃•V₂O₅)), studied by EPR method, show for low content of V_2O_5 that all the spectra present a hyperfine structure typical for isolated V⁴⁺ ions. When the V_2O_5 content increase, the EPR absorption signal showing hyperfine structure superposed by a broad line without hyperfine structure characteristic for clustered ions. At high V₂O₅ content, the vanadium hyperfine structure disappears and only the broad line can be observed in the spectra [15]. For the glasses x(Fe₂O₃)•(100-x)[P₂O₅•CaO], the composition dependence of the absorption line intensity shows an increasing up to $x = 20 \mod \%$ and for higher concentrations decreases [16]. For the $x(Fe_2O_3)$ • $(100-x)[P_2O_5 \bullet Li_2O]$ glass systems with x > 10 mol%Fe₂O₃ concentration range, isolated Fe³⁺ ions subjected to strong crystalline field effects were detected [16].

^bJoint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

The present work reports on the structural investigations of $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100-x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with 0 < x < 50 mol% by means of scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and small-angle neutron scattering (SANS). These methods are used in order to obtain complementary information about the influence of local symmetry and interactions between iron and vanadium ions with the increasing of $Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5$ content in the $P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O$ glass matrix on the morphology and microstructure of the system.

2. Experimental

2.1. Samples preparation

For the samples preparation $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$, Li_2CO_3 , Fe_2O_3 and V_2O_5 of reagent grade purity were used.

Samples were prepared as in the case of other phosphate glasses [17-19] by weighing suitable proportions of components, powder mixing and mixture melting in sintered corundum crucibles at 1250°C for 5 minutes. The mixtures were put into the furnace directly at this temperature. The melts were poured onto stainless steel plates.

Five samples of $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100-x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with x=0; 5; 20; 35; 50 mol% were obtained.

2.2. X-ray diffraction

The samples were analyzed by XRD method using PANalitical X-ray diffractometer Empyreanin Curadiation with scanning step ~ 0.026 degree. The X-ray patterns of the investigated samples are characteristic for vitreous systems. No crystalline phase was observed up to 50 mol% (Fe₂O₃· V₂O₅).

2.3. Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy analysis was accomplished at the FESEM (Hitachi SU8020) instrument in function at the Center of Applied Physics of FLNR-JINR.

2.4. Small angle neutron scattering

Small angle neutron scattering experiments consist in measuring the intensity of the scattered neutrons versus the amplitude of the scattering wavevector defined by $Q = (4\pi/\lambda)\sin(\theta/2)$, where θ is the scattering angle and λ is the neutron wavelength.

SANS measurements were performed on the time-offlight YuMO spectrometer with two detector modes [20-23] in function at the IBR-2 high flux pulsed reactor (JINR Dubna). The SONIX+ software system accomplished the control of the spectrometer [24]. The experiments were carried out at sample-to-detector distances of 5.28m and 13.04 m, resulting in a Q range of $0.006\div0.06$ Å⁻¹. Background scattering on the substrate and on the vanadium reference sample was accounted by using SAS software (small angle neutron scattering intensity in absolute units of cm⁻¹) [25]. Experiment was carried out at a room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. SEM-analysis

SEM was used to study the morphological features of $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100 \cdot x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with x=0; 5; 20; 35; 50 mol% samples (Fig. 1).

For x=0 (Fig.1a) and x=5mol% (Fig.1b) the image of the sample presents mainly round and ellipsoidal drop-like aspect. Beginning with x=20mol% the surface of the samples reveals the presence of pronounced big irregular structures with sharp edges (Fig. 1 c, d, e). From the SEM images it can be concluded that the increase of $Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5$ concentration induce the growth of these structures.

3.2. SANS-analysis

Structural analysis in the volume of the samples was accomplished using SANS method.

It is well known that, identical SANS curves or identical SANS curve regions can be obtained for very different nanosystems. In order to choose one or other of the possible SANS modeling methods, it is essential to know additional structural information such as electron microscopy, SEM or TEM (transmission electron microscopy). In the following, we present a preliminary, possible interpretation of the experimental data of the measured SANS curves, taking into account the information obtained from the scanning electron microscopy images (Fig.1).

In Fig. 2 the SANS experimental curves for x varying from 0 to 50 mol% are depicted.

In function of the x value, two structural regimes are revealed in the range of scattering vector $0.006 < Q < 0.085 \mbox{ Å}^{-1}$:

- (i) for x=0 and 5 mol%, a structural regime characterizing particulate structures;
- (ii) for x=20; 35; 50 mol%, a structural regime characterizing fractal structures, due to the power law behavior of the curves, is detected.
- Further the cases of x=0 and 50 mol% will be analyzed.

In Fig. 2 the SANS experimental curves for x varying from 0 to 50 mol% are depicted.



(e)

Fig. 1. SEM images of $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100-x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$, measured on FESEM SU8020 type microscope: (a) x=0; (b) x=5%; (c) x=20%; (d) x=35%; (e) x=50%



Fig. 2. SANS experimental curves for $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100 - x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with 0 < x < 50 mol% samples obtained at YuMO instrument in function at IBR-2 reactor



Fig. 3. SANS experimental and fitting curves for $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100 - x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with x = 0 sample

3.2.1. Analysis of SANS experimental curve for x=0

The experimental data for x=0 were modeled in the whole Q region by using form factors for different morphological shapes to obtain the size parameters best describing the scattering signal [26].

In Fig.3 SANS experimental and fitting curves for sample with x=0 are presented.

Using the FITTER program [27] three-form factors were found in the range of 0.006< Q< 0.085 Å⁻¹, as follows:

(a)
$$0.006 < Q < 0.012 \text{ Å}^{-1}$$

A cylinder having radius R and length H, described with the expression,

 $I(Q) = A \int_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{2}(t) \left(QR \sqrt{1 - x^{2}} \right) S^{2} \left(QHx/2 \right) dx + B \text{ where,}$ $\Lambda_{1}(t) = 2J_{1}(t)/t, \quad S(t) = \sin t/t, \quad J_{1} \text{ is the cylindrical}$ Bessel function of order 1 and B is the background, best represents the experimental data.

$$I(Q) = A \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left[\Phi \left[Q \sqrt{\left[\left(a^2 \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2} x + b^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} x \right) (1 - y^2) + c^2 y^2 \right]} \right] \right]^2 dx dy + B$$
(1)

(b) $0.012 < Q < 0.015 \text{ Å}^{-1}$

The form factor of a spherical shell with R_1 the outer radius and R_2 the inner one, described by the following mathematical expression,

$$I(Q) = A \left[\Phi \left(QR_1 \right) - \left(\frac{R_2}{R_1} \right)^3 \Phi \left(QR_2 \right) \right]^2 + B$$

where $\Phi(t) = 3 \frac{\sin t - t \cos t}{t^3}$ and B is the background, is determined.

(c)
$$0.015 < Q < 0.085 \text{ Å}^{-1}$$

A three axial ellipsoid with half-axes a, b, c, described with the expression (1)

where, $\Phi(t) = 3 \frac{\sin t - t \cos t}{t^3}$; *B* is the background; A = I(0), is obtained.

In Table 1, the structural models and obtained dimensions are summarized.

Table 1. Fitting models and structural dimensions

| Q-range [Å ⁻¹] | Model | Dimensions [Å] |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0.006 ÷0.012 | Cylinder | R =6145.8 L =402 |
| 0.012÷ 0.015 | Spherical shell | $R_1 = 693.8$ $R_2 = 364.8$ |
| 0.015 ÷0.085 | Three axial ellipsoid | a = 2964.1 b = 30.2 c = 1241.9 |

3.2.2. Analysis of SANS experimental curve for x=50 mol% The curve is composed from two distinct parts, whose behaviors are like $Q^{-\alpha}$:

(i) for $0.006 \le Q \le 0.018 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, $\alpha = 3.7$

(ii) for $0.02 \le Q \le 0.05$ Å⁻¹, $\alpha = 4.05$

In Fig. 4 the log-log SANS experimental curve for the

mol% is represented.

sample of $x(Fe_2O_3 \bullet V_2O_5) \cdot (100-x)[P_2O_5 \bullet Li_2O]$ with x=50



Fig. 4. SANS experimental and fitting curves for $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_3) \cdot (100 \cdot x)[P_2O_3 \cdot Li_2O]$ with x = 50 mol% sample

The power-law dependence of the scattering intensity $I(Q) \approx Q^{-\alpha}$ arising as a linear dependence on a double logarithmic plot in a definite Q - range is called a fractal region [28, 29]. A succession of power-law decays with different exponents in small-angle scattering experimental data, point out on the presence in the sample of a few fractal structures at different scales [30].

If the power-law exponent is $\alpha < 3$, the investigated sample is a mass fractal with the fractal dimension $D_m = \alpha$, while if $3 < \alpha < 4$, then the sample presents characteristics of a surface fractal with the fractal dimension $D_s = 6 - \alpha$. The exponent $\alpha \cong 4$ is specific for smooth surfaces.

In our case:

(i) for $\alpha = 3.7$, the system exhibits the behavior of a surface fractal object with the fractal dimension $D_s = 2.3$

(ii) for $\alpha \cong 4$, the system is formed from scatterer objects with sharp smooth interfaces.

4. Conclusions

Structural investigations on the glasses from the system $x(Fe_2O_3 \cdot V_2O_5) \cdot (100 \cdot x)[P_2O_5 \cdot Li_2O]$ with 0 < x < 50 mol%, were accomplished. X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy and small angle neutron scattering methods were applied for the study. The X-ray patterns of the investigated samples are characteristic for vitreous systems. No crystalline phase was observed up to 50 mol% (Fe₂O₃· V₂O₅).

It was obtained, that at the nano length scales, with the increase of the concentration x the microstructure features of the system are changing from particulate to fractal. The cases of x=0 and 50 mol% are detailed analyzed by SANS. Morphological dimensions of the initial system are determined. The structural 2D-results from SEM are in good agreement with the spatial organizations results from SANS.

Acknowledgements

RO-JINR Projects Nos.323, 322 items 12, 67, 121 and 396, 397 items 4, 67 are acknowledged.

References

- A. Hirofumi, O. Satoshi, F. Koji, M. Shunsuke, T. Katsuhisa, Phys. Rev. B 80, 134408 (2009).
- [2] T. Sankarappa, G. B. Devidas, M. P. Kumar, S. Kumar, B. V. Kumar, J. Alloys Compd. 469, 576 (2009).
- [3] W. J. Chung, J. Choi, Y. G. Choi, J. Alloys Compd. 505, 661 (2010).
- [4] K. Srilatha, K. Sambasiva Rao, Y. Gandhi, V. Ravikumar, N. Veeraiah, J. Alloys Compd. 507, 391 (2010).
- [5] K. A. Aly, Y. B. Saddeek, I. M. El. Kashef, J. Optoelectron. Adv. M. **19**(9-10), 623 (2017).
- [6] Wanzhen Yang, Yang Liu, Huiqian Huang, Jiahui Zhang, Junyan Hou, Tao Zheng, Optoelectron. Adv. Mat. 12(11-12), 727 (2018).
- [7] J. Y. Ding, P. Y. Shih, S. W. Yung, K. L. Hsu, T. S. Chin, Mat. Chem. Phys. 82, 61(2003).
- [8] F. Zaera, J. Mater. Chem. 18, 3521 (2008).
- [9] R. Lakshmikantha, R. Rajaramakrishna, R. V. Anavekar, N. H. Ayachi, Can. J. Phys. 90, 235 (2012).

- [10] A. I. Nicula, E. Culea, I. Lupsa. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 79, 325 (1986).
- [11] V. Prilepov, P. Gashin, M. Popescu,
 V. Zalamai, D. Spoiala, P. Ketrush,
 N. Nasedchina, J. Optoelectron. Adv. M. 19(5-6) 400 (2017).
- [12] M. Ghasemloo, M. T. Hosseinnejad, M. Ettehadi Abari, J. Optoelectron. Adv M. 20(9-10), 566 (2018).
- [13] I. Ardelean, C. Andronache C. Campean, Modern Physics Letters B 20, 105 (2006).
- [14] C. Andronache, Modern Physics Letters B 24, 2007 (2010).
- [15] C. Andronache, Materials Chemistry and Physics 136, 281 (2012).
- [16] C. Andronache, M. Balasoiu, D. Racolta, TIM 2018 Physics Conference, Book Series: AIP Conference Proceedings 2071, (2019).
- [17] C. Andronache, D. Racolta, AIP Conference Proceedings 1634, 115 (2014).
- [18] C. Andronache, M. Balasoiu, D. Racolta, Russian J. of Phys. Chem. A 91, 2686 (2017).
- [19] I. Ardelean, C. Andronache, C. Cimpean,P. Pascuta, Modern Physics Letters B 20, 105 (2006).
- [20] Yu. M. Ostanevich, Makromol. Chem., Macromol. Symp.15, 91 (1988).
- [21] A. I. Kuklin, A. Kh. Islamov, V. I. Gordeliy, Neutron News 16(3), 16 (2005).
- [22] A. I. Kuklin, D. V. Soloviov, A.V. Rogachev et al., Journal of Physics: Conference Series 291(7), 012013 (2011).

- [23] A. I. Kuklin, A. I. Ivankov, D. V. Soloviov, A. V. Rogachev et al., Journal of Physics: Conference Series 994(7), 012016 (2018).
- [24] A. S. Kirilov, JINR Communication E10-2017-89(5), (2017); https://sonix.jinr.ru/wiki/doku.php? id=en:index
- [25] A. G. Soloviev, T. M. Solovjeva,
 O. I. Ivankov, D. V. Soloviov,
 A.V. Rogachev, A. I. Kuklin, Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 848(7), 012020 (2017).
- [26] L. A. Feigin, D. I. Svergun, Structure Analysis by Small Angle X-Ray and Neutron Scattering, Plenum Press, 1987.
- [27] A. G. Soloviev, T. N. Murugova,
 A. H. Islamov, A. I. Kuklin, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 351(15), 012027 (2012) https://wwwinfo.jinr.ru/programs/ jinrlib/fitter/indexe.html
- [28] A. Yu. Cherny, E. M. Anitas, A. I. Kuklin, M. Balasoiu, V. A. Osipov, J. Surf. Investig. X-ray, Synchr. Neutron Techniques 4, 903 (2010).
- [29] A. Yu. Cherny, E. M. Anitas, A. I. Kuklin, M. Balasoiu, V. A. Osipov, J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 790 (2010).
- [30] A. Yu. Cherny, E. M. Anitas, V. A. Osipov, A. I. Kuklin, J. Appl. Cryst. 47, 198 (2014).

^{*}Corresponding author: balas@jinr.ru